Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,168	MULLIGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRADLEY DUFFY	1643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brad Duffy</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Monaco</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 November 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/579,168

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After conferring with Primary Examiner, Stephen Rawlings, Applicant's representative, Daniel Monaco, was contacted because while Applicant's after-final amendment would have raised new issues requiring further consideration an alternative amendment that placed the application could be entered. Accordingly, Daniel Monaco was contacted and a proposed examiners amendment to place claims in condition for allowance was discussed. Agreement was reached with respect to the proposed amendments. On November 6, 2009, Mr. Monaco authorized entry of the proposed Examiner's amendment.